arXiv:physics/0103065v1 [physics.class-ph] 21 Mar 2001

# DELAY EQUATION FOR CHARGED BROWN PARTICLE

**Alexander A. Vlasov**

High Energy and Quantum Theory
Department of Physics
Moscow State University
Moscow, 119899
Russia

*In previous work ( physics/0004026) was shown, with the help of numerical calculations, that the effective Brown temperature for charged particle is lower than that for particle without charge. Here we derive this result without numerical calculations, integrating the delay equation analytically, as for zero, so for nonzero viscosity.*

03.50.De

**1.**

To describe motion of charged Brown particle in so called "extended quasi-stationary approximation"[1] in [2] was used the Sommerfeld model [3] of charged rigid sphere. The equation of straightline motion of such Brown particle in dimensionless form reads [2]:

$$\dot{y}(x) = f(x) + \gamma \cdot [y(x-\delta) - y(x)] \tag{1}$$

here

$y(x)$ - is dimensionless velocity of the particle;

$x$ - is dimensionless "time";

$f(x)$ - is some external (stochastic) force;

$\delta$ - is "time" delay;

$\gamma$ - is coefficient: $\gamma \cdot \delta$ is proportional to the ratio of particle's electromagnetic mass to the mechanical mass: $\gamma \cdot \delta = (2/3)(Q^2/a)/(mc^2)$ ( $2a$ - is the size of Sommerfeld particle of charge $Q$ and mass $m$);

the viscosity $\Gamma$ of the surrounding medium is zero.

In [2] was shown, with the help of numerical calculations, that the effective Brown temperature for charged particle is lower than that for particle without charge. Here we derive this result without numerical calculations, integrating the delay equation (1) analytically.

With zero initial conditions:
$y = \dot{y} = 0$ for $x < 0$
dividing the $x$-axis into $\delta$ - intervals $(i-1)\delta \le x \le i\delta$, $i = 1,\ ...,$
and integrating eq. (1) step by step with boundary conditions $y_i(x = i\delta) = y_{i+1}(x = i\delta)$, we finally get the recurrence formula:
for $(N-1)\delta \le x \le N\delta$

$$y(x) = y_N(x) =$$
$$\int_0^x dz f(z) \exp\gamma(z-x) + \gamma \int_{(N-2)\delta}^{x-\delta} dz\ y_{N-1}(z) \exp\gamma(z+\delta-x)$$
$$+\gamma \sum_{i=1}^{N-2} \int_{(i-1)\delta}^{i\delta} dz\ y_i(z) \exp\gamma(z+\delta-x) \qquad (2)$$

with
$$y_1(x) = \int_0^x dz f(z) \exp\gamma(z-x), \quad 0 < x \le \delta$$

Let's consider one interesting case:
$f(x)$ for intervals $(i-1)\delta \le x \le i\delta$ is constant and is equal to $f_i$.
Then the eq.(2) for $x = N\delta \equiv x_N$ yields

$$y_N^* \equiv y_N(x = x_N) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\ f_k\,[1 - C(N-k;p)] \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{N}\ f_k\ D_k \qquad (3)$$

where the function $C(n;p)$ is defined as

$$C(n;p) = \exp\left(-p(n+1)\right) \sum_{m=0}^{n} (p \exp p)^m\ (n+1-m)^m/(m!); \qquad (4)$$

here $p \equiv \gamma\delta$.

Function $C(n;p)$ is positive and for sufficiently large $n$ (for ex., $n > 20$ for $p = 1.0$ ) is equival to $\frac{1}{1+p}$:

$$C(n;p)_{n\gg 1} = \frac{1}{1+p} \to \quad D_n \approx \frac{p}{(1+p)\gamma} \qquad (5)$$

Thus if $f_i = f_0$=const $\forall i$, then from (3,5) we get for $N \gg 1$

$$y_N^* \approx f_0 N \cdot \frac{p}{(1+p)\gamma} = \frac{f_0}{1+p}\ x_N$$

in accordance with the exact solution of (1) for $f = f_0$=const:

$$y(x) = \frac{f_0}{1+p}\ x$$

Also for $N \gg 1$ one can rewrite (3) in the form

$$y_N^* \approx \frac{p}{(1+p)\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_k = \frac{\delta}{(1+p)} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_k \tag{6}$$

This result resembles the classical Brown result: from eq.(4) with $\gamma = 0$ one immediately gets

$$y(x) = \int_0^x f(z)dz, \tag{7}$$

dividing $x$-interval of integration in (7) into $\delta$- intervals with $f(x) = f_k$ for $(k-1)\delta \leq x \leq k\delta$, one can take the integral in (7) in the following manner:

$$y(x = x_N) = \delta \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_k \tag{8}$$

This result differs from (6) only in the multiplier $\frac{1}{(1+p)}$.

Thus one can say that the effect of delay (effect of retardation) for eq.(1) reduces to the effect of mass renormalization: $m \to m/(1+p)$, or consequently to the effect of reduction of the external force:

$$f \to\ f/(1+p) \tag{9}$$

This result also says that the reduction of the external force is model-independent one, and instead of $\gamma\delta$ one can write the classical ratio of self-electromagnetic mass to the mechanical mass $m$ in its general form:

$$\gamma\delta \to \frac{1}{mc^2} \int d\vec{r} d\vec{r'} \frac{\rho(\vec{r})\rho(\vec{r'})}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|} \tag{10}$$

here $\rho$ - is distribution of charge of a particle.

If $f_k,\ \ k = 1, ...$ - is the range of stochastic numbers with average value $f_a$: $< f_k >= f_a$ (here brackets $<>$ denote time average with the same definition as in the classical theory of Brownian motion), then eq.(3) yields

$$< y_N^* >= f_a \sum_{k=1}^{N} D_k \approx f_a x_N/(1+p) \tag{11}$$

Consequently the dispersion $D$ is

$$D = (y_N^* - < y_N^* >)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} D_k D_m < (f_k - f_a)(f_m - f_a) >$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} D_k D_m R(k-m) \tag{12}$$

here $R(k-m)$ - is correlation function of stochastic force $f$. If $R$ is compact:

$$R(k-m) = R_0 \delta_{mk}/\delta \tag{13}$$

then the dispersion (12) is

$$D = R_0/\delta \sum_{k=1}^{N} (D_k)^2 \approx R_0 x_n/(1+p)^2 \tag{14}$$

This result should be compared with classical one.

The theory of Brownian motion without viscosity tells ( eq. (1) with $\gamma = 0$ ) that the dispersion $D_B$ is

$$D_B = \int_0^x dz_1 \int_0^x dz_2 \cdot R(z_1 - z_2) \tag{15}$$

here $R(z_1 - z_2) =< (f(z_1) - f_a)(f(z_2) - f_a) >$ - is the correlation function. If

$$R(z_1 - z_2) = R_0 \delta(z_1 - z_2)$$

then

$$D_B = R_0 x \tag{16}$$

Consequently we see that (eqs. (16) and (14) ) the dispersion of the Sommerfeld charged particle is lower than that of the classical Brown particle without electric charge: $D = D_B(1+p)^{-2}$. Thus one can say that the effective temperature of Sommerfeld particle is lower than that of the Brown one. This result is model independent one (see the remark made above - eq. (10) ).

So we confirm the result of the work [2].

**2.**

If the viscosity $\Gamma$ is not zero, the main equation reads:

$$\dot{y}(x) + \Gamma \cdot y(x) = f(x) + \gamma \cdot [y(x-\delta) - y(x)] \tag{17}$$

For $f = f_0 = const$ eq.(17) has the exact solution

$$y(x) = \frac{f_0}{\Gamma}(1 - \exp(-ax)) \tag{18}$$

and $a$ is determined by the eq.

$$\Gamma + \gamma - a = \gamma \exp(a\delta) \tag{19}$$

Iterative solution $y(x_N) = y_N^*$ of eq.(17), if $f(x) = f_i = const$ for intervals $(i-1)\delta \leq x \leq i\delta$, can be put in the form:

$$y_N^* = \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_k D_k \tag{20}$$

here $D_k$ - some discrete function which can be found from recurrence formula, analogous to (2). But it is convenient to find $D_k$ from the following considerations, using exact results (18,19). Solution (20) must tend to the exact solution (18) (in the case $f_i = f_0 = const \ \forall \ i$) if the $x$-axis is divided into infinitesimally small $\delta$-intervals: $\delta \to 0$ and $N \to \infty$ in such a way that $x_N = \delta \cdot N = const$. Thus one can rewrite

$$y_N^* = \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_k D_k = f_0 \sum_{k=1}^{N} D_k = \frac{f_0}{\Gamma}(1 - \exp(-ax_N))$$

so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} D_k = \frac{1}{\Gamma}(1 - \exp(-a\delta N)) \tag{21}$$

If $\delta \to 0$ we can replace the sum in lhs of (21) by the integral:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} D_k \approx \int^{N} D_k dk = \frac{1}{\Gamma}(1 - \exp(-a\delta N)) \tag{22}$$

Differentiation of (22) with respect to $N$ provides us with this expression for $D_N$:

$$D_N \approx \frac{a\delta}{\Gamma} \exp\left(-a\delta N\right) \tag{23}$$

Substitution of (23) back into (21) gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{a\delta}{\Gamma} \exp\left(-a\delta k\right) = \frac{a\delta}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{1 - \exp\left(-a\delta N\right)}{\exp\left(a\delta\right) - 1} \tag{24}$$

Consequently the required result (rhs of (21) ) is reproduced if we expand the denominator in (24) in the following way:

$$\exp\left(a\delta\right) - 1 \approx a\delta \tag{24}$$

Using this representation of $D_k$, one can find the dispersion D. For correlation function (13) we have

$$D = \frac{R_0}{\delta} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (D_k)^2 \approx$$

$$\frac{R_0}{\delta} \cdot (\frac{a\delta}{\Gamma})^2 \cdot \frac{1 - \exp\left(-2a\delta N\right)}{\exp\left(2a\delta\right) - 1} \approx \frac{R_0 a}{2(\Gamma)^2}(1 - \exp\left(-2a\delta N\right)) \tag{25}$$

here we expanded the expression $\exp\left(2a\delta\right) - 1$ in the same manner as in (24): $\exp\left(2a\delta\right) - 1 \approx 2a\delta$.

Solving the eq.(19) in approximation (24), we find

$$a \approx \frac{\Gamma}{(1 + \gamma\delta)} \tag{26}$$

So with (26) and (25) the dispersion D takes the form

$$D = \frac{R_0}{2(\Gamma)(1 + \gamma\delta)}(1 - \exp\left(-2a\delta N\right)) \tag{27}$$

Dispersion (27) for $\gamma \equiv 0$ is exactly the same as Brownian dispersion $D_B$:

$$D_B = \frac{R_0}{2\Gamma}(1 - \exp\left(-2\Gamma x_N\right))$$

If $ax_N \ll 1$, solution (27) yields

$$D \approx \frac{R_0 x_N}{(1 + \gamma\delta)^2}$$

i.e. the solution we have got earlier (14).

If $ax_N \gg 1$, (27) yields

$$D \approx \frac{R_0}{2\Gamma(1+\gamma\delta)} = \frac{D_B}{(1+\gamma\delta)}$$

Thus dispersion $D$ differs from the Brownian one. Consequently the effective temperature of charged particle, undergoing Brownian motion, is lower then that of particle without charge. Now we have proved this result in general case of nonzero viscosity. Of course, our general conclusion is model-independent one - see the above remark (10).

## REFERENCES


1. T.Erber, Fortschr. Phys., 9, 343 (1961).
2. Alexander A.Vlasov, physics/0004026.
3. A.Sommerfeld, Gottingen Nachrichten, 29 (1904), 363 (1904), 201 (1905).